

2D MHD Equilibrium Solver using Physics-Informed Neural Networks

<u>Byoungchan Jang</u>¹, Alan Kaptanoglu¹, Matt Landreman¹, William Dorland¹ ¹ Department of Physics, University of Maryland

Motivation

- Magnetohydrodynamic equilibrium calculations are a crucial tool for magnetic confinement.
- A fast solver for MHD equilibria is needed for real-time reconstructions and incorporation in optimization loops such as those that occur in stellarator shape optimization.
- Here we explored physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) as a solver for producing 2D MHD equilibria.

Grad-Shafranov Equation

- Grad-Shafranov Equation is a 2D ideal MHD equilibrium equation
- We are looking at a **particular set of** analytic solutions: Solov'ev Profile $-\mu_0 \frac{R_0}{\Psi_0^2} \frac{dp}{d\psi} = C$
- The reason we use an analytic solution $-\frac{R_0^2}{\Psi_0^2}F\frac{dF}{d\psi} = A$ is not to **train** but to **check**

Physics-informed Neural Network

- PINN calculates residual of PDE and Boundary condition
- **Optionally**, we can add **data**(i.e. experimental or numerical solution)



	Loss Terms (Residual Network)	
	1. Governing Equations	
	$\mathcal{R}(X, Y, \widehat{\psi})$	
	$- x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\partial \hat{\psi}} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\psi}}{\partial t^2} - \alpha - (1 - \alpha) X^2$	
	$= \frac{1}{\partial X} \left(\frac{1}{X} \frac{1}{\partial X} \right) + \frac{1}{\partial Y^2} = u - (1 - u)X$	
	$\mathcal{L}_{PDE} = \frac{1}{N_{PDE}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{PDE}} \mathcal{R}(X_j, Y_j, \hat{\psi}) ^2 \text{ on } \mathcal{D}$	
/	2. Boundary Condition (Fixed Boundary)	
	$\widehat{\psi}(X,Y) - \psi_b = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{D}$	
	$\mathcal{L}_{BC} = \frac{1}{N_{BC}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{BC}} \hat{\psi}(X,Y) - \psi_b ^2 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{D}$	

 $X\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left(\frac{1}{X}\frac{\partial U}{\partial X}\right) + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} =$

 $L_{total} = \lambda_{PDE} \mathcal{L}_{PDE} + \lambda_{BC} \mathcal{L}_{BC} + (\lambda_{Data} \mathcal{L}_{Data})$

Collocation Points



- Collocation points are where the PDE residuals are evaluated
- Possible to add data from numerical simulation or experiment

A + C = 1

 $\chi^2 \frac{dp}{d\psi} - \frac{R_0^2}{\Psi_0^2} F \frac{dF}{d\psi}$

Boundary Conditions



Cerfon BC 1 1 0 -1 0 1 1 0 -1 0 1 2 R/R₀ 2 R/R₀ $\psi(out) = 0$ $\psi(in) = 0$ $\psi(high) = 0$

 $\psi(low) = 0$

$\psi_Y(in)=0$	$in = (1 + \varepsilon, 0)$
$\psi_Y(out) = 0$	$out = (1 - \varepsilon, 0)$
$\psi_X(high) = 0$	$high = (1 - \delta \varepsilon, \kappa \varepsilon)$
$\psi_X(low)=0$	$low = (1 - \delta\varepsilon, -\kappa\varepsilon)$

 $\psi_{YY}(out) = -N_1 \psi_X(out)$ $\psi_{YY}(in) = -N_2 \psi_X(in)$ $\psi_{XX}(high) = -N_3 \psi_Y(high)$ $\psi_{XX}(low) = N_3 \psi_Y(low)$

Up-Down Symmetric Configurations Using 1024 Dirichlet Boundary Conditions







Learning Rate

Adam

• BFGS performs great regardless

1/4 period1/2 period

0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2

R [meters]

of previous learning rates of

- Network Architecture
- For Adam, there is no significant improvement after depth=3
- For BFGS, it all converged nicely

Future Works

- Expand to 3D MHD to reconstruct equilibria for stellarator optimization
- Parametric-PINN to expand our input to included A(current/pressure profile) and shape parameters (i.e. eps, kappa, and delta)

References

- [1] M. Raissi et al. Journal of Computational Physics 378:686-707 (2019).
- [2] A. Cerfon et al. *Physics of Plasmas* **17.3**:032502 (2010).
- [3] Lu, Lu, et al. *SIAM Review* **63.1:**208-228 (2021).
- [4] D. A. Kaltsas et al. *Physics of Plasmas*, **29**(2), 022506 (2022)
- [5] S. Markidis. *Frontiers in Big Data* **92**(2021).
- [6] M Landreman , B Medasani, & C Zhu, *Phys Plasmas* 28, 092505 (2021).

All calculations were done with a 2019 MacBook Pro (2.6 GHz 6-Core Intel Core i7)