Optimizing $O((a/R)^2)$ solutions of the near-axis quasisymmetry equations



• Expansion about magnetic axis gives huge speed-up for solving MHD equilibrium, reduces dimensionality of parameter space, & can guarantee approximate quasisymmetry.



 $a / R \ll 1$

- Expansion about magnetic axis gives huge speed-up for solving MHD equilibrium, reduces dimensionality of parameter space, & guarantees approximate quasisymmetry.
- But, at $O((a/R)^2)$, most solutions are limited to small plasma volume:



- Also we want other properties: MHD stability, enough rotational transform, etc.
 - \Rightarrow Need to optimize the near-axis solutions.

Near-axis stellarator solutions need optimization

Most near-axis solutions look like this:

We want to find the rare solutions like this:



Optimizing near-axis stellarator solutions

- Parameter space is 8D 12D: Fourier modes of axis shape + 2 scalars.
- Objective function is fast to compute (~ 1 ms).
- Multiple competing objectives and constraints.
- Optima are extremely narrow.
- Gradients not available now. Finite-difference isn't too bad, since not many dimensions.
- Don't know bounds on parameters. Short-wavelength modes in axis shape are <<1, but not sure how small exactly.

 $R_{0}(\phi) \quad (m) = 1 + 0.1700 \cos(4\phi) + 0.01804 \cos(8\phi) + 0.001409 \cos(12\phi)$ $+ 0.00005877 \cos(16\phi),$ $z_{0}(\phi) \quad (m) = 0.1583 \sin(4\phi) + 0.01820 \sin(8\phi) + 0.001548 \sin(12\phi)$ $+ 0.00007772 \sin(16\phi),$

Multiple objectives/constraints

Many could be considered either an objective or inequality constraint.

Large r_c (Minor radius at which singularity occurs)

Iota > 0.4

- V" < 0 ("magnetic well" for MHD stability)
- R > 0.3 <R> (Space for coils in the donut hole)

Elongation < 8

- Small B_{20} (deviation from quasisymmetry)
- Large $L_{\nabla B}$, $L_{\nabla \nabla B}$ (scale lengths in magnetic field, proxy for coil simplicity & distance)
- Small X₂, Y₂, X₃, Y₃ (high-order terms in surface shapes)
- All but iota & V" are functions of toroidal angle.



Optima are extremely narrow

 $R_0(\phi)$ (m) = 1 + 0.1700 cos(4 ϕ) + 0.01804 cos(8 ϕ) + 0.001409 cos(12 ϕ) $+0.00005877\cos(16\phi),$ $z_0(\phi)$ (m) = 0.1583 sin(4 ϕ) + 0.01820 sin(8 ϕ) + 0.001548 sin(12 ϕ) $+0.00007772\sin(16\phi)$, Round to 3 digits $r_c = 0.18$



Brute-force searching can generate many starting points for optimization

```
Summary of scan results:
 Configurations attempted:
 Rejected due to crude R0 check:
 Rejected due to min R0:
 Rejected due to max curvature:
 Rejected due to min iota:
 Rejected due to max elongation:
 Rejected due to min L grad B:
 Rejected due to B20 variation:
 Rejected due to min L grad grad B:
 Rejected due to d2 volume d psi2:
 Rejected due to DMerc:
 Rejected due to r singularity:
 Total rejected:
 Kept:
```

```
(fractions in parentheses)
1587481591
         0 (0)
         0 (0)
  21579484 (0.01359)
         0 (0)
1352349439 (0.8519)
  31390372 (0.01977)
181991926 (0.1146)
    142016 (8.946e-05)
         0 (0)
         0 (0)
     16018 (1.009e-05)
1587469255 (1)
     12336 (7.771e-06)
```

384 cores for 30 minutes. Averaged 0.4 ms / configuration evaluated.

 $color = B20 \ variation^{Data: /Users/mattland/qsc/qsc_out.nfp4_double.nc}$



Plot generated by /Users/mattland/qsc/bin/qscPlotScan

Several implementations available

https://github.com/landreman/qsc

- C++
- Can do brute-force searches with MPI
- Can do optimization via GSL

https://github.com/landreman/pyQSC

- Pure python
- Much slower than C++, but easy to install from PyPI (pip install qsc)

Questions

- What is a good workflow? E.g.
 - 1. Brute-force search.
 - 2. Another brute-force search with tighter bounds.
 - 3. Extract the non-dominated points.
 - 4. For each such point, now scan over the weights for each objective.
 - 5. Optimize.
 - 6. From the resulting set, extract the non-dominated points.
- Recommendations for algorithms or libraries to use?