## Homework #5 22 points Due Tuesday, 12/08

3 points

 Present two arguments, backed up by evidence, for the idea, brand new in Chomsky (1973), that Ā-movement is not in one fell swoop, but rather is 'successive cyclic' (step-by-step).
**BE EXPLICIT**

- (1)a. Within the "classic" theory of Subjacency (Chomsky (1973, as modified in 1977), present an argument that IP (= S) is one of the bounding nodes for Subjacency in English.
  - b. Suppose CP (= S), instead of IP, were a bounding node. Give and discuss two differences in the resulting language. (Make sure to consider whether there would be Subjacency effects at all.)
  - c. Suppose both IP and CP were bounding nodes. Discuss the difference in the language.
  - d. Finally, present an argument that IP is **not** a bounding node in English (that is, an argument that Chomsky 1973 actually had a reason for making it CP rather than IP).

## **BE EXPLICIT IN ALL OF THE ABOVE**

3 points

(2) Present an argument for WH-Movement in an 'in situ' language like Chinese or Japanese or Korean (sometimes called 'covert movement'). Be explicit! Spell out your reasoning.
< If you have any reservations about your argument, feel free to articulate them.>

10 points

- (5) Discuss each of the following examples, explaining as explicitly as possible their status in terms of rules, principles, constraints, etc., that we have discussed. Show the relevant portions of the structures, and indicate the relevant bounding nodes.
  - (a) \*Who did a picture of fall on the floor
  - (b) \*Who<sub>2</sub> did you see [<sub>NP</sub> a car [<sub>CP</sub> which<sub>1</sub> [<sub>IP</sub> John gave  $t_1$  to  $t_2$  ]]]
  - (c) Who do you think (\*that) won the race
  - vs.  $\checkmark$  Which race do you think (that) John won
  - vs.  $\checkmark$  Who do you think (that) John said won the race [i.e., this one, unlike (a), is good with or without *that*]

(d) ??Which car<sub>1</sub> do [you wonder [who<sub>2</sub> [ $t_2$  said [[John fixed  $t_1$ ]]]]]

vs.(e) \*How<sub>1</sub> do [you wonder [who<sub>2</sub> [ $t_2$  said [[John fixed the car  $t_1$ ]]]]] [i.e., extraction of the object what is bad, but extraction of the adjunct how is even worse]