

# Lecture 1 - Introduction & Symmetry

01/28/16

Ted Jacobson - PSC3151

[physics.umd.edu/grt/taj/1023a](http://physics.umd.edu/grt/taj/1023a)

↳ links to Piazza for discussion

\* Read 4.1-2 SAK (symmetry in Quantum Mechanics)

→ homeworks assigned and due on Thursdays

Textbook: 'Quantum Mechanics', Franz Schwabl

Today

1. Hermitian Operators

3. Homework I

2. Symmetry

## 1. Warming Up: Hermitian Operators

Observables correspond to Hermitian operators

equivalent to self-adjoint for finite-dimensional Hilbert Spaces

→ this is equivalent to complex conjugate for numbers

$z^* = z \leftrightarrow$  real complex number

$\hat{A}^\dagger = \hat{A} \leftrightarrow$  Hermitian operator, where  $\hat{A}|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$

→ eigenvalues are real

→ spectrum of the op.

$\hat{A} = \sum_{\alpha} \alpha |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|$

projection operator, assumed normalized to unity

$$(|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|)(|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|) = |\alpha\rangle \underbrace{\langle \alpha|}_{=1 \text{ if normalized}} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| = |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|$$

real #  
ket" vector in Hilbert space "state"  
Hermitian operator

If  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in$  Hilbert space:

-  $(v, w) \in \mathbb{C}$  - live in complex space

-  $(zv, w) = z^*(v, w)$  - anti-linear in this space

-  $(v, zw) = z(v, w)$  - linear in this space

-  $(v, w)^* = (w, v)$

-  $(v, v) \geq 0$  only if  $v = 0$

In Dirac notation...

$w \longleftrightarrow |w\rangle$  "ket"

$\langle v, \cdot \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle v |$  "bra"; only obtains meaning through inner product  
 $\langle v, w \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle v | w \rangle$

How do we know an operator is Hermitian?

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, \hat{A}w \rangle &= (\hat{A}^* v, w) \\ &= (w, \hat{A}^* v)^* \end{aligned}$$

↓ dirac notation ↓

$$\langle v | \hat{A} | w \rangle = \langle w | \hat{A}^* | v \rangle^* \text{ if } \hat{A} \text{ is Hermitian}$$

If unitary...

$$U U^\dagger = I$$

In the case of multiple observables of interest:

if  $[A, B] = 0$  for observables A & B, then they have a common eigenbasis.

⇒ i.e. they are simultaneously diagonalizable

## 2. Symmetry

Consider a particle of mass  $m$  in 1 spatial dimension.

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(x), \quad V(-x) = V(x)$$

even-parity function

In terms of the parity operator: ( $\hat{\pi}$ )

$$\hat{\pi}|x\rangle = |-x\rangle$$

a state completely localized at the point  $x$

(and therefore non-normalizable)

→ eigenstates of  $\hat{\pi}$ ?

$$\hat{\pi}(|x\rangle \pm |-x\rangle) = \pm(|x\rangle \pm |-x\rangle)$$

eigenvalues of  $\pm 1$

$$\hat{\pi}^2 = I \Rightarrow (\text{eigenvalue of } \hat{\pi})^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{eigenvalues} = \pm 1 \text{ (confirmed)}$$

$\hat{\pi}\hat{\pi}^\dagger = \hat{\pi}^2 = I$ ; parity is unitary

In the momentum basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}|p\rangle &= | -p \rangle - \text{parity reverses momentum also} \\ \langle x | p \rangle &\propto e^{ipx/\hbar} \end{aligned}$$

In terms of operators:

$$\hat{\pi} \hat{x} = -\hat{x} \hat{\pi}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\pi} \hat{x} |x\rangle = x \hat{\pi} |x\rangle = x | -x \rangle \\ \hat{x} \hat{\pi} |x\rangle = \hat{x} | -x \rangle = (-x) | -x \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\pi} \hat{x} \hat{\pi}^{-1} = -\hat{x}; \quad \hat{\pi} \hat{p} \hat{\pi}^{-1} = -\hat{p}$$

for wavefunctions:

$$|\Psi\rangle, \Psi(x) = \langle x | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\langle x | \hat{\pi} | \Psi \rangle = \Psi(-x)$$

↑ multiplying an operator by a unitary operator can still transform the operator in some way

$$[\pi, p^2] = [\pi, p] p + p [\pi, p]$$

$$\text{since } \pi p = -p\pi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi, p] &= -2p\pi \\ &= -2p\pi p + p(-2p\pi) \\ &= -2p\pi p + 2p\pi p \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

→ a different approach...

$$[\pi, p^2] = \pi p^2 - p^2 \pi$$

$$\text{if } \pi p^2 \pi^{-1} = p^2$$

$$\iff \pi p^2 = p^2 \pi$$

$$\iff [\pi, p^2] = 0$$

$$\pi p^2 \pi^{-1} \rightarrow \pi p p \pi^{-1}$$

$\pi^\dagger \pi$  (can do because unitary)

$$= (\underbrace{\pi p \pi^{-1}}_{-p})(\underbrace{\pi p \pi^{-1}}_{-p})$$

$$= p^2 \checkmark$$

What about the potential term?

$$\pi V(x) \pi^{-1} = V(x)$$

$$\text{if } V(-x) = V(x)$$

$$\rightarrow [\pi, V(x)] = 0$$

$$\therefore [\pi, H] = 0$$

⇒ this means the eigenstates of H may be chosen to be parity eigenstates

e.g.  $\hat{H} = p^2/2m$

$\hat{H}|p\rangle = (p^2/2m)|p\rangle$  is valid

but  $p$  is not an eigenstate!

$$\hat{\pi}|p\rangle = |p\rangle$$

Can choose instead  $(|p\rangle \pm i|p\rangle)$  as the energy eigenstates

(works since  $(p)^2 = (-p)^2$ )

\* this trick does not work if the  $\hat{H}$ -spectrum is non-degenerate

Suppose the  $\hat{H}$ -spectrum is non-degenerate...

and that  $\hat{H}|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$

$$\hat{H}\hat{\pi}|E\rangle = \hat{\pi}\hat{H}|E\rangle = E\hat{\pi}|E\rangle$$

have the same eigenstate

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\pi}|E\rangle \text{ must be } = \pm|E\rangle \text{ (up to a phase factor } e^{i\phi})$$

each eigenstate  $|E\rangle$  must have a definite parity  
and be non-degenerate

How does parity act on certain observables?

for spherical harmonics:

$$\hat{\pi}Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) = (-1)^l Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$$

ex:

$$Y_{3m} \leftrightarrow \sum_{i,j} Z_{ij} X^i X^j$$

where  $Z_{ij} = Z_{ji}$  (symmetric)  
and  $\sum_i Z_{ii} = 0$  (traceless)

$$\text{where } X^i \leftrightarrow X = x = r \sin(\theta) \cos(\varphi)$$

$$X^2 = y = r \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi)$$

$$X^3 = z = r \cos(\theta)$$

so for 3  $X^i$ 's, there will be three  $(-1)$  factors, hence why  $\hat{\pi}$   
changes signs for odd  $l$ -values

Parity does not change angular momentum

$$\vec{L} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}$$

$$\hat{\pi}\vec{L}\hat{\pi}^{-1} = \vec{L}$$

vectors unchanged by parity are known as  
"pseudo-vectors" or "axial vectors"

$$\vec{L} = e^{-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{L}/\hbar}, \text{ a unitary transformation}$$

$\hookrightarrow \vec{L}$  is the generator of physical rotation

But what about the spin operator,  $\vec{S}$ ?

→ first consider the total rotation of the system,  $\vec{J}$

$$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}, U(R_\theta) = e^{-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{J}/\hbar}, \text{ also a unitary transformation}$$

\* the parity operator must respect the total quantum-mechanical rotation in the same way that it treats physical rotation (angular momentum), since parity is a reflection through some origin and the total rotation must have the same origin as its components

so since  $\hat{\pi} \vec{L} \hat{\pi}^{-1} = \vec{L}$

$$\hookrightarrow \hat{\pi} \vec{J} \hat{\pi}^{-1} \text{ must } = \vec{J}$$

from this we know that all components of  $\vec{J}$

must hold this same relation

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\pi} \vec{S} \hat{\pi}^{-1} = \vec{S}$$

### 3. Homework 1

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}) + \lambda \left( \delta^3(\vec{r}) \vec{S} \cdot \vec{p} + \underbrace{\text{Hermitian conjugate}}_{\text{needed because } \vec{S} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{S}} \right)$$

needed because  $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{S}$

Which operators commute with the Hamiltonian?

if  $[\mathcal{H}, J] = 0$ , then  $[\mathcal{H}, f(J)] = 0$  also

•  $J^2, J_z \rightarrow$  commute with  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  is invariant under total rotation

•  $L^2, L_z \} \checkmark$  check if these are "good Quantum Numbers"

good Quantum Numbers commute with  $\mathcal{H}$ , we can define the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian using these