

# SIR Model and Nonlinear Least Squares

Brian Hunt

University of Maryland

AMSC/MATH 420, Spring 2013

# SI Model

- The SI model we discussed before is often written

$$dS/dt = -pSI$$

$$dI/dt = pSI$$

where  $S$  is the “susceptible” population – those at risk to become infected at a given time – and  $I$  is the infectious population. For this model the sum  $S + I$  remains constant over time; we called the sum  $N$  and substituted  $S = N - I$  in the second equation.

- The resulting solution was

$$I(t) = \frac{NI(0)}{I(0) + [N - I(0)]e^{-pNt}}$$

# SIR Model

- The SIR model (Kermack & McKendrick, 1927) is

$$dS/dt = -pSI$$

$$dI/dt = pSI - rI$$

$$dR/dt = rI$$

where  $R$  (for “recovered” or “removed”) is the number of people who were infected but are no longer infectious. In this case,  $I + R$  is the cumulative number of people infected.

- One can add a term to the first equation representing new arrivals to the susceptible population.
- There is no formula for the solutions.

# Properties of Solution Families

- Each model's family of solutions has some properties that are useful for fitting parameters to data.
- A time-shifted solution is also a solution: If  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  is a solution, then  $\mathcal{I}(t + c)$  is also a solution (with a different initial condition). This is because the model is “autonomous” – no explicit  $t$  dependence.
- A rescaled solution is also a solution: If  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  is a solution, then  $a\mathcal{I}(bt)$  is a solution of the same model **with different parameters**.
- Given a data set and the graph of a solution  $\mathcal{I}(t)$ , we can try to shift and rescale the graph to fit the data.

# Change of parameters for SI model solution

- We can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(t) &= \frac{N}{1 + [N/\mathcal{I}(0) - 1]e^{-pNt}} \\ &= \frac{N}{1 + e^{-\lambda(t-\delta)}} = Ng(\lambda(t - \delta)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = pN$$

$$\delta = \log[N/\mathcal{I}(0) - 1]/\lambda$$

$$g(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x}).$$

## Interpretation of new parameters

- If we find parameters  $N, \lambda, \delta$  that fit the data, we can solve for the original parameters  $p$  and  $\mathcal{I}(0)$ . However, the new parameters may be more interesting in their own right.
- $N$  is the total number of people who will be infected over the outbreak, according to the model.
- $\delta$  is the time at which  $N/2$  people have been infected, and at which  $d\mathcal{I}/dt$  peaks; it is more relevant than  $\mathcal{I}(0)$  to the data and to the interpretation of the model.
- $\lambda$  is the rate at which the outbreak unfolds; it represents the rate per unit time a single person is infecting others early in the outbreak.

# Data Fitting Problems

- Given data points  $[t_j, \mathcal{I}_j]$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_j$  is an estimate of the cumulative number of people infected at time  $t_j$ , we can try to minimize the sum of squared residuals

$$E_{\mathcal{I}}(N, \lambda, \delta) = \sum_{j=1}^J [\mathcal{I}_j - Ng(\lambda(t_j - \delta))]^2.$$

- If the data is  $[t_j, y_j]$  where  $t_j = j$  and  $y_j$  is the number of new diagnoses per unit time, then we can fit  $d\mathcal{I}/dt$  to the data by minimizing

$$E_y(N, \lambda, \delta) = \sum_{j=1}^J [y_j - N\lambda g'(\lambda(t_j - \delta))]^2.$$

# Partial Solution

- We have posed **nonlinear** least squares problems.
- Numerical methods for optimization can yield approximate minimizers  $N, \lambda, \delta$ .
- We can make some progress algebraically, since  $E$  is a quadratic function of  $N$ . Minimizing  $E_I$  over  $N$  yields

$$N_{\lambda, \delta} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \mathcal{I}_j g(\lambda(t_j - \delta))}{\sum_{j=1}^J [g(\lambda(t_j - \delta))]^2}.$$

- Substituting and simplifying yields

$$E_{\mathcal{I}}(N_{\lambda, \delta}, \lambda, \delta) = \sum_{j=1}^J \mathcal{I}_j^2 - N_{\lambda, \delta} \sum_{j=1}^J \mathcal{I}_j g(\lambda(t_j - \delta)).$$



## Simple Approaches to Minimizing $E$

- Fix one parameter (say  $\delta$ ) and compute  $E(N_{\lambda,\delta}, \lambda, \delta)$  for various  $\lambda$ ; look for the value of  $\lambda$  that minimizes  $E$  for the chosen value of  $\delta$ . Then fix  $\lambda$  and adjust  $\delta$  to make  $E$  as small as you can. Then go back and see if you can make  $E$  smaller by adjusting  $\lambda$ , etc.
- Make a contour plot of  $E(N_{\lambda,\delta}, \lambda, \delta)$  over a range of plausible  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  values. Zoom in near the apparent minimum and make another contour plot, etc.
- These approaches can be automated, and of course there are more sophisticated approaches; the latter become important when there are more parameters and/or when the function to be minimized takes a very long time to compute.