Interesting Research in Vehicle Routing and Healthcare Analytics

> Bruce L. Golden R.H. Smith School of Business University of Maryland

Presented at AIRO 2012 Conference, September 2012 Vietri sul Mare, Italy

## **Outline of Talk**

- Some personal remarks
- Vehicle Routing
  - The Hierarchical Traveling Salesman Problem (HTSP)
- Healthcare Analytics
  - The Effects of Bed Utilization on Discharge and Readmission Rates
- Conclusions

#### Grand Hotel Convento di Amalfi

TREET

ADD ALLER

## Introduction to the HTSP

- Consider the distribution of relief aid
  - E.g., food, bottled water, blankets, or medical packs
- The goal is to satisfy demand for relief supplies at many locations
  - Try to minimize cost
  - Take the urgency of each location into account

# A Simple Model for Humanitarian Relief Routing

- Suppose we have a single vehicle which has enough capacity to satisfy the needs at all demand locations from a single depot
- Each node (location) has a known demand (for a single product called an aid package) and a known priority
  - Priority indicates urgency
  - Typically, nodes with higher priorities need to be visited before lower priority nodes

## **Node Priorities**

- Priority 1 nodes are in most urgent need of service
- To begin, we assume
  - Priority 1 nodes must be served before priority 2 nodes
  - Priority 2 nodes must be served before priority 3 nodes, and so on
  - Visits to nodes must strictly obey the node priorities

## The Hierarchical Traveling Salesman Problem

- We call this model the Hierarchical Traveling Salesman Problem (HTSP)
- Despite the model's simplicity, it allows us to explore the fundamental tradeoff between efficiency (distance) and priority (or urgency) in humanitarian relief and related routing problems
- A key result emerges from comparing the HTSP and TSP in terms of worst-case behavior

## Four Scenarios for Node Priorities



## **Literature Review**

- Psaraftis (1980): precedence constrained TSP
- Fiala Tomlin, Pulleyblank (1992): precedence constrained helicopter routing
- Guttman-Beck et al. (2000): clustered traveling salesman problem
- Campbell et al. (2008): relief routing
- Balcik et al. (2008): last mile distribution

## A Relaxed Version of the HTSP

- Definition: The d-relaxed priority rule adds operational flexibility by allowing the vehicle to visit nodes of priority  $\pi + 1, ..., \pi + d$  (if these priorities exist in the given instance) but not priority  $\pi + d + \ell$  for  $\ell \ge 1$  before visiting all nodes of priority  $\pi$  (for  $\pi = 1, 2,...,P$ )
- When d=0, we have the strict HTSP
- When d=P-1, we have the TSP (i.e., we can ignore node priorities)

## Efficiency vs. Priority



## Main Results

- Let P be the number of priority classes
- Assume the triangle inequality holds
- Let Z\*<sub>d,P</sub> and Z\*<sub>TSP</sub> be the optimal tour length (distance) for the HTSP with the d-relaxed priority rule and for the TSP (without priorities), respectively
- We obtain the following results

(a) 
$$Z^*_{0,P} \le P Z^*_{TSP}$$
  
(b)  $Z^*_{d,P} \le \left[\frac{P}{d+1}\right] Z^*_{TSP}$ 

## Sketch of Proof (a)



# Sketch of Proof (a)

- Construct tours  $\tau(1)$ ,  $\tau(2)$ , and  $\tau(3)$
- Visit nodes in the same order as they appear in  $\tau^*$
- From the triangle inequality, the lengths of  $\tau(1)$ ,  $\tau(2)$ , and  $\tau(3)$  are each  $\leq Z^*_{TSP}$
- It is easy to construct a feasible solution  $\tau$  to the HTSP from  $\tau(1)$ ,  $\tau(2)$ , and  $\tau(3)$
- The length of  $\tau \le \sum_{i=1}^{3} \{\text{length of } \tau(i)\} \le 3Z*_{\text{TSP}}$

## Sketch of Proof of (b)



# Sketch of Proof of (b)

- In our example, P=4 and d=1
- In the worst case, we can't visit a priority 3 node until we have visited all priority 1 and 2 nodes
- Visit nodes in the same order as they appear in  $\tau^{\ast}$
- τ(1) includes priority 1 and 2 nodes
- $\tau(2)$  includes priority 3 and 4 nodes
- As before, we can construct  $\tau$  from  $\tau(1)$  and  $\tau(2)$
- The length of  $\tau \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \{ \text{length of } \tau(i) \} \leq 2Z *_{\text{TSP}}$

## The General Result and Two Special Cases

$$Z^*_{d,P} \le \left[\frac{P}{d+1}\right] Z^*_{TSP}$$

## If d=0, we have part (a)

If 
$$d=P-1$$
, then  $Z^*_{d,P} = Z^*_{TSP}$ 

## Worst-case Example



## **Several Observations**

- Observation 1. The worst-case example shows that the bounds in (a) and (b) are tight and cannot be improved
- Observation 2. We can "solve" a TSP over the entire set of nodes using our favorite TSP heuristic and obtain a feasible tour for the HTSP by following the part (b) proof
- Observation 3. Suppose we select Christofides' heuristic and let  $Z_{d,P}^h$  be the length of the resulting feasible solution to the HTSP, then we have  $Z_{d,P}^h \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{P}{d+1}\right] Z_{TSP}^*$

## **Observations and Extensions**

- Observation 4. The HTSP (with d=0) can be modeled and solved as an ATSP
- Observation 5. Other applications of the HTSP include routing of service technicians and routing of unmanned aerial vehicles
- We can obtain similar worst-case results (with tight bounds) for the HTSP on the line and the Hierarchical Chinese Postman Problem (HCPP)

## **Extensions and Future Work**

- The HTSP and several generalizations have been formulated as mixed integer programs
- HTSP instances with 30 or so nodes were solved to optimality using CPLEX
- Future work
  - The Hierarchical Vehicle Routing Problem (HVRP)
  - > A multi-day planning horizon
  - Uncertainty with respect to node priorities

### **Emergence of Healthcare Analytics** within INFORMS

Number of Healthcare Talks at INFORMS Annual Meetings



## **Strength in Numbers**

- There is more healthcare data available than ever before
  - Careful analysis of healthcare data can lead to smarter decisions, better quality healthcare, and cost savings
- A larger number of healthcare decision makers have MBAs than ever before
  - They understand that we can help
- A larger number of us in OR/OM are working on healthcare applications than ever before

# The Effects of Bed Utilization on Discharge and Readmission Rates

- Many hospital resources are required for surgery
  - Operating rooms
  - Nurses & Physicians
  - Anesthesia team
  - Post-operative beds for recovery
- If downstream beds are unavailable, surgery might be postponed or cancelled
- Surgeons decide when patients are discharged
  Surgeons are paid to do surgery

## **Research Question 1**

- Does the utilization of downstream beds affect the discharge decisions of surgeons?
  - Hypothesis: There is an increased discharge rate on days when postoperative utilization is high

## Data

- Data collected on every surgery performed at a large US hospital from Jan 1, 2007 to May 31, 2007
- 7808 patients, of which 6470 were admitted to the hospital and stayed for at least one night
- These patients stayed a total of 35,478 days
- Data provided on age, race, gender, surgical line, date of surgery, discharge date, and surgery type (scheduled vs. emergency)
- Utilization of post-operative beds varies widely

## **Discharge Rates**

Discharge rates have positive correlation with utilization



## **Utilization Measures**

#### We compute two measures of utilization

- Discrete measure a variable that is 1 when utilization exceeds a given threshold (e.g., 93%), and 0 otherwise
- Continuous measure a variable that counts the number of beds in use on each day
- Compare marginal effect of each bed in use vs. a discrete change in discharge probability when utilization exceeds a threshold

## **Discrete Time Survival Analysis**

- Can't use logistic regression because observations are correlated -- a patient discharged on the fifth day cannot be discharged on the first four days
- Singer and Willet (1993) show how to handle discrete time survival data
- For each day, we record whether or not each patient is discharged, and use this as the outcome variable
- The outcome variable is regressed on our utilization measures and our control variables
- We control for the patient's age, race, gender, severity, and surgery type

## **Models and Results**

- Model 1: logit(DISCHARGE) = AGE + ELECTIVE + FULL + CARDIAC SURGERY+ CARDIOLOGY + ... + DONOR SERVICE + D1+  $D2 + ... + D59 + \varepsilon$
- Model 2: logit(DISCHARGE) = AGE + ELECTIVE + BEDS + CARDIAC SURGERY+ CARDIOLOGY +...+ DONOR SERVICE + D1 + D2 +...+ D59 +  $\varepsilon$
- When the utilization threshold is exceeded, the odds of discharge for any given patient increase. The estimate for Full is positive and significant for threshold above 91.5%.
- Each additional bed in use increases the odds that a patient will be discharged. The estimate for Beds is positive and significant.

## Observations

- Discharge rates increase as utilization increases, regardless of how utilization is measured
- Either some patients are held too long and discharged when space is needed, or some patients are discharged too early when utilization is high
- Our results cannot distinguish between these two explanations

## **Research Question 2**

- Are patients who are discharged when utilization is high more likely to be readmitted?
  - Hypothesis: An increase in the discharge rate will lead to some patients with shortened lengths of stay. This will cause an increase in the readmission rate for those patients.

# Analysis

- Using the same data set, we apply logistic regression to study the effect that utilization has on the probability of readmission for a specific patient
- We use readmission within 72 hours as our dependent variable
- Hypothesized logistic regression model

 $logit(READMISSION_{72}) = AGE + BLACK + ASIAN + HISPANIC + FULL (or BEDS) + ELECTIVE + TRANSPLANT + TRAUMA + ... + NEURO + MALE + \varepsilon$ 

## Results

- Model with Full: Controlling for race, age, gender, and the type of surgery, being discharged from a full postoperative unit increases the odds of readmission by a factor of 2.341
- Model with Beds: Controlling for race, age, gender, and the type of surgery, each bed in use at the time of discharge increases the odds of readmission by a factor of 1.008

#### **Utilization-Readmission Relationship**



The discharge rate and readmission rate both increase as utilization increases

## **Survival Analysis**



Over the course of a month, patients discharged from a full hospital are much more likely to be readmitted

## Discussion

- The discharge rate rises when utilization is high
- This corresponds to an increase in the readmission rate
- We conclude that some patients are discharged too soon when utilization is high
- Surgeons have an incentive to clear space for their surgeries
- Mitigation strategy: Use a checklist before discharging a patient—force the surgeon to think about whether the discharge is for the right reason

## Conclusions

- Research opportunities in vehicle routing, disaster relief, and healthcare analytics are plentiful
- The HTSP work presented here will appear in <u>Optimization Letters</u>
- The healthcare analytics work presented here has appeared in <u>Health Care Management Science</u> (2011, 2012)

#### Thank you!