



# Data Mining with Weka


Class 3 – Lesson 1

Simplicity first!

lan H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



#### Simple algorithms often work very well!

There are many kinds of simple structure, eg:

<ul> <li>One attribute does all the work</li> </ul>	Lessons 3.1, 3.2
<ul> <li>Attributes contribute equally and independently</li> </ul>	Lesson 3.3
<ul> <li>A decision tree that tests a few attributes</li> </ul>	Lessons 3.4, 3.5
<ul> <li>Calculate distance from training instances</li> </ul>	Lesson 3.6
- Result depends on a linear combination of attributes	Class 4

- Success of method depends on the domain
  - Data mining is an experimental science

#### OneR: One attribute does all the work

- Learn a 1-level "decision tree"
  - i.e., rules that all test one particular attribute
- Basic version
  - One branch for each value
  - Each branch assigns most frequent class
  - Error rate: proportion of instances that don't belong to the majority class of their corresponding branch
  - Choose attribute with smallest error rate

```
For each attribute,

For each value of the attribute,

make a rule as follows:

count how often each class appears

find the most frequent class

make the rule assign that class

to this attribute-value

Calculate the error rate of this attribute's rules

Choose the attribute with the smallest error rate
```

Outlook	Temp	Humidity	Wind	Play
Sunny	Hot	High	False	No
Sunny	Hot	High	True	No
Overcast	Hot	High	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	High	False	Yes
Rainy	Cool	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Cool	Normal	True	No
Overcast	Cool	Normal	True	Yes
Sunny	Mild	High	False	No
Sunny	Cool	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	Normal	False	Yes
Sunny	Mild	Normal	True	Yes
Overcast	Mild	High	True	Yes
Overcast	Hot	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	High	True	No

Attribute	Rules	Errors	Total errors
Outlook	$Sunny \to No$	2/5	4/14
	$Overcast \to Yes$	0/4	
	$Rainy \to Yes$	2/5	
Temp	$\mathrm{Hot} \to \mathrm{No}^*$	2/4	5/14
	$Mild \to Yes$	2/6	
	$Cool \to Yes$	1/4	
Humidity	High  o No	3/7	4/14
	$Normal \to Yes$	1/7	
Wind	$False \to Yes$	2/8	5/14
	True $\rightarrow$ No*	3/6	

<sup>\*</sup> indicates a tie

#### **Use OneR**

- Open file weather.nominal.arff
- Choose OneR rule learner (rules>OneR)
- Look at the rule (note: Weka runs OneR 11 times)

#### OneR: One attribute does all the work

Incredibly simple method, described in 1993

"Very Simple Classification Rules Perform Well on Most Commonly Used Datasets"


- Experimental evaluation on 16 datasets
- Used cross-validation
- Simple rules often outperformed far more complex methods
- How can it work so well?
  - some datasets really are simple
  - some are so small/noisy/complex that nothing can be learned from them!

#### **Course text**

❖ Section 4.1 *Inferring rudimentary rules* 

Rob Holte, Alberta, Canada









# Data Mining with Weka


Class 3 – Lesson 2

Overfitting

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



- Any machine learning method may "overfit" the training data ...
  ... by producing a classifier that fits the training data too tightly
- ❖ Works well on training data but not on independent test data
- Remember the "User classifier"? Imagine tediously putting a tiny circle around every single training data point
- Overfitting is a general problem
- ... we illustrate it with OneR

#### **Numeric attributes**

Outlook	Temp	Humidity	Wind	Play
Sunny	85	85	False	No
Sunny	80	90	True	No
Overcast	83	86	False	Yes
Rainy	75	80	False	Yes

Attribute	Rules	Errors	Total errors
Temp	85 → No	0/1	0/14
	$80 \rightarrow Yes$	0/1	
	$83 \rightarrow Yes$	0/1	
	<b>75</b> → <b>No</b>	0/1	

- OneR has a parameter that limits the complexity of such rules
- ❖ How exactly does it work? Not so important ...

#### **Experiment with OneR**

- Open file weather.numeric.arff
- Choose OneR rule learner (rules>OneR)
- Resulting rule is based on outlook attribute, so remove outlook
- Rule is based on humidity attribute

```
humidity: < 82.5 -> yes
>= 82.5 -> no
(10/14 instances correct)
```

#### **Experiment with diabetes dataset**

- Open file diabetes.arff
- Choose ZeroR rule learner (rules>ZeroR)
- ❖ Use cross-validation: 65.1%
- Choose OneR rule learner (rules>OneR)
- ❖ Use cross-validation: 72.1%
- Look at the rule (plas = plasma glucose concentration)
- Change minBucketSize parameter to 1: 54.9%
- Evaluate on training set: 86.6%
- Look at rule again

- Overfitting is a general phenomenon that plagues all ML methods
- One reason why you must never evaluate on the training set
- Overfitting can occur more generally
- Lig try many ML methods, choose the best for your data
  - you cannot expect to get the same performance on new test data
- Divide data into training, test, validation sets?



#### **Course text**

❖ Section 4.1 *Inferring rudimentary rules* 





# Data Mining with Weka


Class 3 – Lesson 3

Using probabilities

lan H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



(OneR: One attribute does all the work)

# Opposite strategy: use *all* the attributes "Naïve Bayes" method

- Two assumptions: Attributes are
  - equally important a priori
  - statistically independent (given the class value)
    - i.e., knowing the value of one attribute says nothing about the value of another (if the class is known)
- Independence assumption is never correct!
- ❖ But ... often works well in practice

#### Probability of event H given evidence E

$$Pr[H] = \frac{Pr[E \mid H]Pr[H]}{Pr[E]}$$
class instance

- ❖ Pr[ *H* ] is *a priori* probability of *H* 
  - Probability of event before evidence is seen
- ❖ Pr[ H | E ] is a posteriori probability of H
  - Probability of event after evidence is seen
- "Naïve" assumption:
  - Evidence splits into parts that are independent

$$\Pr[H \mid E] = \frac{\Pr[E_1 \mid H] \Pr[E_2 \mid H] ... \Pr[E_n \mid H] \Pr[H]}{\Pr[E]}$$

Thomas Bayes, British mathematician, 1702 –1761

Out	tlook		Tempo	erature	•	Hu	midity			Wind		PI	ay
	Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No	Yes	No
Sunny	2	3	Hot	2	2	High	3	4	False	6	2	9	5
Overcast	4	0	Mild	4	2	Normal	6	1	True	3	3		
Rainy	3	2	Cool	3	1								
Sunny	2/9	3/5	Hot	2/9	2/5	High	3/9	4/5	False	6/9	2/5	9/14	5/14
Overcast	4/9	0/5	Mild	4/9	2/5	Normal	6/9	1/5	True	3/0_	3/5		
Painy	3/0		Cool	3/0	1/5					Out	look	Temp	Humi

$$\Pr[H \mid E] = \frac{\Pr[E_1 \mid H] \Pr[E_2 \mid H] ... \Pr[E_n \mid H] \Pr[H]}{\Pr[E]}$$

1/9 3/5				
Outlook	Temp	Humidity	Wind	Play
Sunny	Hot	High	False	No
Sunny	Hot	High	True	No
Overcast	Hot	High	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	High	False	Yes
Rainy	Cool	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Cool	Normal	True	No
Overcast	Cool	Normal	True	Yes
Sunny	Mild	High	False	No
Sunny	Cool	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	Normal	False	Yes
Sunny	Mild	Normal	True	Yes
Overcast	Mild	High	True	Yes
Overcast	Hot	Normal	False	Yes
Rainy	Mild	High	True	No

Outlook		Temperature		Humidity		Wind		Play					
	Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No	Yes	No
Sunny	2	3	Hot	2	2	High	3	4	False	6	2	9	5
Overcast	4	0	Mild	4	2	Normal	6	1	True	3	3		
Rainy	3	2	Cool	3	1								
Sunny	2/9	3/5	Hot	2/9	2/5	High	3/9	4/5	False	6/9	2/5	9/14	5/14
Overcast	4/9	0/5	Mild	4/9	2/5	Normal	6/9	1/5	True	3/9	3/5		
Rainy	3/9	2/5	Cool	3/9	1/5								

A new day:

Outlook	Temp.	Humidity	Wind	Play
Sunny	Cool	High	True	?

$$\Pr[H \mid E] = \frac{\Pr[E_1 \mid H] \Pr[E_2 \mid H] ... \Pr[E_n \mid H] \Pr[H]}{\Pr[E]}$$


#### Likelihood of the two classes

For "yes" = 
$$2/9 \times 3/9 \times 3/9 \times 3/9 \times 9/14 = 0.0053$$
  
For "no" =  $3/5 \times 1/ \times 4/5 \times 3/5 \times 5/14 = 0.0206$ 

#### Conversion into a probability by normalization:

$$P("yes") = 0.0053 / (0.0053 + 0.0206) = 0.205$$
  
 $P("no") = 0.0206 / (0.0053 + 0.0206) = 0.795$ 

Outlook	Temp.	Humidity	Wind	Play	- Fuidanca F
Sunny	Cool	High	True	?	<b>←</b> Evidence E




#### **Use Naïve Bayes**

- Open file weather.nominal.arff
- Choose Naïve Bayes method (bayes>NaiveBayes)
- Look at the classifier
- Avoid zero frequencies: start all counts at 1

- \* "Naïve Bayes": all attributes contribute equally and independently
- ❖ Works surprisingly well
  - even if independence assumption is clearly violated
- ❖ Why?
  - classification doesn't need accurate probability estimates
     so long as the greatest probability is assigned to the correct class
- ❖ Adding redundant attributes causes problems (e.g. identical attributes) → attribute selection

#### Course text

❖ Section 4.2 *Statistical modeling* 







# Data Mining with Weka


Class 3 – Lesson 4

**Decision trees** 

lan H. Witten


Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz




#### Top-down: recursive *divide-and-conquer*

- **Select** attribute for root node
  - Create branch for each possible attribute value
- **Split** instances into subsets
  - One for each branch extending from the node
- Repeat recursively for each branch
  - using only instances that reach the branch
- Stop
  - if all instances have the same class



#### Which attribute to select?



#### Which is the best attribute?


- ❖ Aim: to get the smallest tree
- Heuristic
  - choose the attribute that produces the "purest" nodes
  - I.e. the greatest information gain
- Information theory: measure information in bits

entropy(
$$p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$$
) =  $-p_1 \log p_1 - p_2 \log p_2 ... - p_n \log p_n$ 




- Amount of information gained by knowing the value of the attribute
- (Entropy of distribution before the split) (entropy of distribution after it)


Claude Shannon, American mathematician and scientist 1916–2001





#### Which attribute to select?



## **Continue to split ...**







gain(temperature) = 0.571 bits


gain(windy) = 0.020 bits

gain(humidity) = 0.971 bits

#### Use J48 on the weather data

- Open file weather.nominal.arff
- Choose J48 decision tree learner (trees>J48)
- Look at the tree
- Use right-click menu to visualize the tree

- **❖** J48: "top-down induction of decision trees"
- Soundly based in information theory
- Produces a tree that people can understand
- Many different criteria for attribute selection
  - rarely make a large difference
- Needs further modification to be useful in practice (next lesson)



#### **Course text**

**Section 4.3** *Divide-and-conquer: Constructing decision trees* 





# Data Mining with Weka

Class 3 – Lesson 5


Pruning decision trees

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz


# **Lesson 3.5 Pruning decision trees**



# **Lesson 3.5 Pruning decision trees**



### **Highly branching attributes** — **Extreme case: ID code**



### How to prune?


- Don't continue splitting if the nodes get very small (J48 minNumObj parameter, default value 2)
- Build full tree and then work back from the leaves, applying a statistical test at each stage (confidenceFactor parameter, default value 0.25)
- Sometimes it's good to prune an interior node, raising the subtree beneath it up one level (subtreeRaising, default true)
- ❖ Messy ... complicated ... not particularly illuminating

### Over-fitting (again!)

Sometimes simplifying a decision tree gives better results

- Open file diabetes.arff
- Choose J48 decision tree learner (trees>J48)
- Prunes by default: 73.8% accuracy, tree has 20 leaves, 39 nodes
- ❖ Turn off pruning: 72.7% 22 leaves, 43 nodes
- **t** Extreme example: **breast-cancer.arff**
- ❖ Default (pruned): 75.5% accuracy, tree has 4 leaves, 6 nodes
- **Unpruned:** 69.6% 152 leaves, 179 nodes

- C4.5/J48 is a popular early machine learning method
- Many different pruning methods
  - mainly change the size of the pruned tree
- ❖ Pruning is a general technique that can apply to structures other than trees (e.g. decision rules)
- Univariate vs. multivariate decision trees
  - Single vs. compound tests at the nodes
- From C4.5 to J48 (recall Lesson 1.4)



#### **Course text**

Section 6.1 *Decision trees* 

Ross Quinlan, Australian computer scientist







# Data Mining with Weka


Class 3 – Lesson 6

Nearest neighbor

lan H. Witten


Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



### "Rote learning": simplest form of learning

- To classify a new instance, search training set for one that's "most like" it
  - the instances themselves represent the "knowledge"
  - lazy learning: do nothing until you have to make predictions
- "Instance-based" learning = "nearest-neighbor" learning



### Search training set for one that's "most like" it

- Need a similarity function
  - Regular ("Euclidean") distance? (sum of squares of differences)
  - Manhattan ("city-block") distance? (sum of absolute differences)
  - Nominal attributes? Distance = 1 if different, 0 if same
  - Normalize the attributes to lie between 0 and 1?

### What about noisy instances?

- Nearest-neighbor
- ❖ k-nearest-neighbors
  - choose majority class among several neighbors (k of them)
- ❖ In Weka,


lazy>IBk (instance-based learning)

### Investigate effect of changing *k*

- Glass dataset
- $\Rightarrow$  lazy > IBk, k = 1, 5, 20
- 10-fold cross-validation

<i>k</i> = 1	<i>k</i> = 5	<i>k</i> = 20
70.6%	67.8%	65.4%

- Often very accurate ... but slow:
  - scan entire training data to make each prediction?
  - sophisticated data structures can make this faster
- Assumes all attributes equally important
  - Remedy: attribute selection or weights
- Remedies against noisy instances:
  - Majority vote over the k nearest neighbors
  - Weight instances according to prediction accuracy
  - Identify reliable "prototypes" for each class
- Statisticians have used k-NN since 1950s.
  - If training set size  $n \to \infty$  and  $k \to \infty$  and  $k/n \to 0$ , error approaches minimum



#### **Course text**

❖ Section 4.7 *Instance-based learning* 





# **Data Mining with Weka**

Department of Computer Science
University of Waikato
New Zealand



Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License



creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

weka.waikato.ac.nz