

- **Another Correction (Exercise I.j):**

From the Selected Answers page, I wrote:

I.j) “[All scientists are inventive people]; **for this reason** {some inventive people are not Americans}, **inasmuch as** {some scientists are not Americans}.”

Just like in I.g) the conclusion indicator is suppressed. However, we can infer the conclusion from the premise indicators **for this reason**{...}, **inasmuch as** {...}. The connective phrase “**inasmuch as**” function like the “**and**” in I.g: because we’re giving another reason for the judgment.

Conclusion (bracketed):

S: scientists

P: inventive people

M: Americans

Standard Syllogistic form:

Some P are not M

Some S are not M

All S are P

OOA₂ mood and figure

Validity

Rule	Justification
A	NO Pass- both premises are particular negative
B	NO Pass – Both premises are particular negative, but the conclusion is (universal) affirmativs
C	OK, M is distributed in both premises (it’s the predicate of an O-form)
D	No Pass S is distributed in conclusion (it’s the subject of an E-form) but S is not distributed in minor premise (it’s the subject of an O-form.)
E	OK by default – both premises are particular

However, as my fellow TA Billy Sunday pointed out, the phrase “for this reason” modifies the opening phrase “All scientists are inventive people.” In other words, the above argument could be read in the form:

“**For the reason that** [All scientists are inventive people]; {some inventive people are not Americans}, **inasmuch as** {some scientists are not Americans}.”

Hence the phrase ‘some inventive people are not Americans’ is the conclusion:

