

Equivalence of symmetry in Boozer and Hamada coordinates

The following derivation is based on Appendix A of Sugama and Nishimura, *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 4637 (2002).

Hamada angles θ_H and ζ_H can be defined by

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla\psi \times \nabla\theta_H + \nabla\zeta_H \times \nabla\psi \quad (1)$$

with

$$\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\theta_H \times \nabla\zeta_H = \frac{4\pi^2}{V'} \quad (2)$$

where $2\pi\psi$ is the toroidal flux, V is the volume enclosed by a flux surface, and the prime denotes $d/d\psi$.

In contrast, Boozer angles θ_B and ζ_B can be defined by

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla\psi \times \nabla\theta_B + \nabla\zeta_B \times \nabla\psi \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = B_\psi^B \nabla\psi + B_\theta^B \nabla\theta_B + B_\zeta^B \nabla\zeta_B \quad (4)$$

with B_θ^B and B_ζ^B flux functions. Note that

$$\langle B^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{V'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_B \int_0^{2\pi} d\zeta_B \frac{B^2}{\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\theta_B \times \nabla\zeta_B}, \quad (5)$$

where $\langle \rangle$ denotes a flux surface average, and

$$V' = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_B \int_0^{2\pi} d\zeta_B \frac{1}{\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\theta_B \times \nabla\zeta_B}. \quad (6)$$

As

$$\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\theta_B \times \nabla\zeta_B = \frac{B^2}{B_\zeta^B + \nabla\theta_B \cdot \nabla\zeta_B}, \quad (7)$$

then

$$\langle B^2 \rangle = \frac{4\pi^2}{V'} (B_\zeta^B + \nabla\theta_B \cdot \nabla\zeta_B) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\theta_B \times \nabla\zeta_B = \frac{4\pi^2}{V'} \frac{B^2}{\langle B^2 \rangle}. \quad (9)$$

Transformations between magnetic coordinates

Suppose the transformation from one system to the other was written as

$$\theta_H = \theta_B + F(\psi, \theta_B, \zeta_B) \quad (10)$$

$$\zeta_H = \zeta_B + G(\psi, \theta_B, \zeta_B) \quad (11)$$

where F and G are periodic in both the poloidal and toroidal angles. Equating (3) and (1),

$$\nabla\psi \times \nabla F + \nabla G \times \nabla\psi = 0. \quad (12)$$

The ∇_{θ_B} component of this equation tells us $\partial F / \partial \zeta_B = \mp \partial G / \partial \zeta_B$, so upon integrating,

$$F = \mp G + y(\psi, \theta_B). \quad (13)$$

Here and throughout this document, $\partial / \partial \zeta_B$ holds θ_B fixed, $\partial / \partial \theta_B$ holds ζ_B fixed, $\partial / \partial \zeta_H$ holds θ_H fixed, and $\partial / \partial \theta_H$ holds ζ_H fixed. The ∇_{ζ_B} component of (12) implies $\partial F / \partial \theta_B = \mp \partial G / \partial \theta_B$, so

$$F = \mp G + w(\psi, \zeta_B). \quad (14)$$

Comparing (13) with (14), F must equal $\mp G$ plus a flux function, so

$$\theta_H = \theta_B + \mp G \quad (15)$$

$$\zeta_H = \zeta_B + G + a(\psi). \quad (16)$$

(The $a(\psi)$ term in (16) is not crucial, since adding a flux function to any of the angular magnetic coordinates results in an equally valid coordinate within the same coordinate system.)

Useful identities

Applying $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla$ to (16), we obtain

$$\nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta_H \cdot \nabla \zeta_H = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta_B \cdot \nabla \zeta_B + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla G. \quad (17)$$

(The same result could be obtained by applying $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla$ to (15)). Noting (9) and (2), then (17) can be written

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B} + \mp \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} = \frac{\langle B^2 \rangle}{B^2} - 1. \quad (18)$$

Next, from the chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} = \frac{\partial \theta_B}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} + \frac{\partial \zeta_B}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B}. \quad (19)$$

By applying $\partial / \partial \theta_H$ to (15) and (16), we find $1 = \partial \theta_B / \partial \theta_H + \mp \partial G / \partial \theta_H$ and $0 = \partial \zeta_B / \partial \theta_H + \partial G / \partial \theta_H$, so (19) implies

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} = \left(1 - \mp \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} \right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B}. \quad (20)$$

Rearranging,

$$\left(1 + \mp \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B}, \quad (21)$$

so recalling (18), then

$$\frac{\langle B^2 \rangle}{B^2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B}. \quad (22)$$

A similar calculation gives

$$\frac{\langle B^2 \rangle}{B^2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B}. \quad (23)$$

Next, applying $\partial / \partial \theta_B$ to (18) and commuting the derivatives on the left-hand side,

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_B} + \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_B} \right] \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} = - \frac{2 \langle B^2 \rangle}{B^3} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B}. \quad (24)$$

Recalling that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta_B \cdot \nabla \zeta_B \left[(\partial / \partial \zeta_B) + \iota (\partial / \partial \theta_B) \right]$, then (24) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} = - \frac{4\pi^2}{V'} \frac{2}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B}. \quad (25)$$

We could have applied $\partial / \partial \zeta_B$ to (18) instead of $\partial / \partial \theta_B$, and so it is also true that

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B} = - \frac{4\pi^2}{V'} \frac{2}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B}. \quad (26)$$

Boozer symmetry implies Hamada symmetry

Suppose $B = B(M\theta_B - N\zeta_B)$, i.e.

$$N \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} + M \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} = 0. \quad (27)$$

Then from (25)-(26),

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \left(N \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} + M \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) = 0. \quad (28)$$

It follows that

$$N \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_B} + M \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_B} = A(\psi) \quad (29)$$

for some flux function $A(\psi)$. Integrating (29) in θ_B and ζ_B from 0 to 2π in both variables, we obtain $0 = (2\pi)^2 A$, so $A = 0$. Then applying (22) and (23),

$$N \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} + M \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Finally, we form

$$\begin{aligned} N \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_H} + M \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_H} &= N \left(\frac{\partial \theta_B}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} + \frac{\partial \zeta_B}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) + M \left(\frac{\partial \theta_B}{\partial \zeta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} + \frac{\partial \zeta_B}{\partial \zeta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) \\ &= N \left(\left[1 - \iota \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} \right] \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) + M \left(-\iota \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} + \left[1 - \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} \right] \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) \\ &= \left(N \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} + M \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \right) - \iota \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_B} \left(N \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} + M \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} \right) - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_B} \left(N \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_H} + M \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta_H} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The first equality above is the chain rule; to get from the first line to the second we have used the $\partial / \partial \theta_H$ and $\partial / \partial \zeta_H$ derivatives of (15) and (16), and the last line follows from algebraic rearrangement. The terms in parentheses all vanish in a field which satisfies (27) due to (30), and so the Boozer symmetry property (27) implies

$$N \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta_H} + M \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta_H} = 0. \quad (32)$$

Thus, symmetry in Boozer angles implies symmetry in Hamada angles.