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The tolerance of society for variety of sexual practices and love within sexual identifications has varied through Western civilization. Generally, the culture in the United States has classified such variety as abnormal. The most common basis for such classification has been religious, citing the condemnation of homosexuality in the Bible. But, in addition, the culture has gone through times when normativity in all aspects of life was simply more expected and more enforced with cultural and legal sanctions. On the other hand, there have been times of unrest when the notion of respecting individual difference has been a rhetorical basis for reform. The search for tolerance of sexual self-identification and practice has been one such focus.

During the twentieth century, the power for cultural normativity was strong. Social relations at the turn of the century were guided by what has been called the Victorian age (after Queen Victoria of England) with its strict definitions of proper behavior. Religious fundamentalism reinforced this viewpoint, often taking an askance view of sex outside the reproductive duties of conjugal marriage. Laws against sodomy and other sexual violations of normativity were consistent features of twentieth century legal codes in the United States. As the century proceeded, the American mass media reinforced these notions of normativity. After World War II television advertising and drama depicted the normative family in the United States repeatedly and with an idealistic approval. A father (necessarily the breadwinner), a mother (necessarily a homemaker), 2.5 children, and a dog lived in the suburbs and owned a “woody” station wagon, became the dominant stereotype of normality. But, of course, life in the United States was much more diverse than this projected ideal. And, sexuality was one source of such diversity. Within the framework of this legally and culturally narrow definition of the normal, the expression of sexuality was said to be “in the closet.” Jobs could be lost, marriages (always between a male and female) and families destroyed by patterns of love and sexual practice that varied from “normal.”

The movements of the 1960s in the United States moved against the power of definitions of normativity across the culture. It was within this push for cultural liberation, or the right to individual identity, that a movement grew for what became known as “gay liberation.” Many date the beginning of the movement to the so-called “Stonewall uprising” of 1969. The Stonewall Inn was a bar in the Greenwich Village section of New York City where those who felt the sting of sexual normativity gathered to meet others. Such culturally designated places for developing counter-communities appear when the force of cultural normativity is great. It was typical in the United States at the time for police departments to tolerate such establishments until some political need or demand for normativity compelled a “crackdown.” So it was on 29 June 1969. But at the Stonewall, the targets of this police raid resisted, asserting their right to gather.

The San Francisco area of Northern California was a magnet for cultural radicals of the 1960s. It was there in the Castro district that a large community of gay activists developed during the decade. Among those activists was Harvey Milk. Milk was born on Long Island, NY, the grandson of a department store owner in New York City. He served in the United States Navy during the Korean War. Milk came back to New York and worked in various jobs including teaching at the high school level and work on the New York stage. In 1964, he reportedly volunteered for the conservative campaign of Barry Goldwater for President.

But in 1972, perhaps inspired by the cultural liberation movements of the 1960s, Milk moved to San Francisco and to the Castro district. After some difficulties being accepted into the developing gay political establishment in San Francisco, Milk decided to enter electoral politics in 1973 by running for San Francisco Board of supervisors. Although he received support in the Castro district he was not elected. Then, in 1977, he won a seat on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. He had thus become the first openly gay elected official in the United States.
Less than one year later, on November 27, 1978, Milk was gunned down along with San Francisco Mayor George Moscone. The shooter was Supervisor Dan White, a board member who had campaigned on a platform of law and order, civic pride, and family values.

Milk delivered this speech on the steps of San Francisco City Hall during a mass rally to celebrate California Gay Freedom Day, 25 June 1978.

**The Hope Speech**

My name is Harvey Milk and I'm here to recruit you.

I've been saying this one for years. It's a political joke. I can't help it--I've got to tell it. I've never been able to talk to this many political people before, so if I tell you nothing else you may be able to go home laughing a bit.

This ocean liner was going across the ocean and it sank. And there was one little piece of wood floating and three people swam to it and they realized only one person could hold on to it. So they had a little debate about which was the person. It so happened that the three people were the Pope, the President, and Mayor Daley. The Pope said he was titular head of one of the greatest religions of the world and he was spiritual adviser to many, many millions and he went on and pontificated and they thought it was a good argument. Then the President said he was leader of the largest and most powerful nation of the world. What takes place in this country affects the whole world and they thought that was a good argument. And Mayor Daley said he was mayor of the backbone of the United States and what took place in Chicago affected the world, and what took place in the archdiocese of Chicago affected Catholicism. And they thought that was a good argument. So they did it the democratic way and voted. And Daley won, seven to two.

About six months ago, Anita Bryant in her speaking to God said that the drought in California was because of the gay people. On November 9, the day after I got elected, it started to rain. On the day I got sworn in, we walked to City Hall and it was kinda nice, and as soon as I said the word "I do," it started to rain again. It's been raining since then and the people of San Francisco figure the only way to stop it is to do a recall petition. That's the local joke.

So much for that. Why are we here? Why are gay people here? And what's happening? What's happening to me is the antithesis of what you read about in the papers and what you hear about on the radio. You hear about and read about this movement to the right. That we must band together and fight back this movement to the right. And I'm here to go ahead and say that what you hear and read is what they want you to think because it's not happening. The major media in this country has talked about the movement to the right so the legislators think that there is indeed a movement to the right and that the Congress and the legislators and the city councils will start to move to the right the way the major media want them. So they keep on talking about this move to the right.

So let's look at 1977 and see if there was indeed a move to the right. In 1977, gay people had their rights taken away from them in Miami. But you must remember that in the week before Miami and the week after that, the word homosexual or gay appeared in every single newspaper in this nation in articles both pro and con. In every radio station, in every TV station and every household. For the
first time in the history of the world, everybody was talking about it, good or bad. Unless you have
dialogue, unless you open the walls of dialogue, you can never reach to change people's opinion. In
those two weeks, more good and bad, but more about the word homosexual and gay was written than
probably in the history of mankind. Once you have dialogue starting, you know you can break down
prejudice. In 1977 we saw a dialogue start. In 1977, we saw a gay person elected in San Francisco.
In 1977 we saw the state of Mississippi decriminalize marijuana. In 1977, we saw the convention
of conventions in Houston. And I want to know where the movement to the right is happening.

What that is is a record of what happened last year. What we must do is make sure that 1978
continues the movement that is really happening that the media don't want you to know about. That
is the movement to the left. It's up to CDC to put the pressures on Sacramento--but to break down
the walls and the barriers so the movement to the left continues and progress continues in the nation.
We have before us coming up several issues we must speak out on. Probably the most important
issue outside the Briggs--which we will come to--but we do know what will take place this June. We
know there's an issue on the ballot called Jarvis-Gann. We hear the taxpayers talk about it on both
sides. But what you don't hear is that it's probably the most racist issue on the ballot in a long time.
In the city and county of San Francisco, if it passes and we indeed have to lay off people, who will
they be? The last in, and the first in, and who are the last in but the minorities? Jarvis-Gann is a racist
issue. We must address that issue. We must not talk away from it. We must not allow them to talk
about the money it's going to save, because look at who's going to save the money and who's going
to get hurt.

We also have another issue that we've started in some of the north counties and I hope in some of
the south counties it continues. In San Francisco elections we're asking--at least we hope to ask--that
the U.S. government put pressure on the closing of the South African consulate. That must happen.
There is a major difference between an embassy in Washington which is a diplomatic bureau and
a consulate in major cities. A consulate is there for one reason only -- to promote business, economic
gains, tourism, investment. And every time you have business going to South Africa, you're
promoting a regime that's offensive.

In the city of San Francisco, if everyone of 51 percent of that city were to go to South Africa, they
would be treated as second-class citizens. That is an offense to the people of San Francisco and I
hope all my colleagues up there will take every step we can to close down that consulate and hope
that people in other parts of the state follow us in that lead. The battles must be started some place
and CDC is the greatest place to start the battles. I know we are pressed for time so I'm going to
cover just one more little point. That is to understand why it is important that gay people run for
office and that gay people get elected. I know there are many people in this room who are running
for central committee who are gay. I encourage you. There's a major reason why. If my non-gay
friends and supporters in this room understand it, they'll probably understand why I've run so often
before I finally made it. Y'see right now, there's a controversy going on in this convention about the
gay governor. Is he speaking out enough? Is he strong enough for gay rights? And there is
controversy and for us to say it is not would be foolish. Some people are satisfied and some people
are not.

You see there is a major difference – and it remains a vital difference – between a friend and a gay
person, a friend in office and a gay person in office. Gay people have been slandered nationwide. We’ve been tarred and we’ve been brushed with the picture of pornography. In Dade County, we were accused of child molestation. It's not enough anymore just to have friends represent us. No matter how good that friend may be.

The black community made up its mind to that a long time ago. That the myths against blacks can only be dispelled by electing black leaders, so the black community could be judged by the leaders and not by the myths or black criminals. The Spanish community must not be judged by Latin criminals or myths. The Asian community must not be judged by Asian criminals or myths. The Italian community must not be judged by the mafia, myths. And the time has come when the gay community must not be judged by our criminals and myths.

Like every other group, we must be judged by our leaders and by those who are themselves gay, those who are visible. For invisible, we remain in limbo – a myth, a person with no parents, no brothers, no sisters, no friends who are straight, no important positions in employment. A tenth of the nation supposedly composed of stereotypes and would-be seducers of children – and no offense meant to the stereotypes. But today, the black community is not judged by its friends, but by its black legislators and leaders. And we must give people the chance to judge us by our leaders and legislators. A gay person in office can set a tone, command respect not only from the larger community, but from the young people in our own community who need both examples and hope.

The first gay people we elect must be strong. They must not be content to sit in the back of the bus. They must not be content to accept pablum. They must be above wheeling and dealing. They must be – for the good of all of us – independent, unbought. The anger and the frustrations that some of us feel is because we are misunderstood, and friends can't feel the anger and frustration. They can sense it in us, but they can't feel it. Because a friend has never gone through what is known as coming out. I will never forget what it was like coming out and having nobody to look up toward. I remember the lack of hope – and our friends can't fulfill it.

I can't forget the looks on faces of people who've lost hope. Be they gay, be they seniors, be they blacks looking for an almost-impossible job, be they Latins trying to explain their problems and aspirations in a tongue that's foreign to them. I personally will never forget that people are more important than buildings. I use the word "I" because I'm proud. I stand here tonight in front of my gay sisters, brothers and friends because I'm proud of you. I think it's time that we have many legislators who are gay and proud of that fact and do not have to remain in the closet. I think that a gay person, up-front, will not walk away from a responsibility and be afraid of being tossed out of office. After Dade County, I walked among the angry and the frustrated night after night and I looked at their faces. And in San Francisco, three days before Gay Pride Day, a person was killed just because he was gay. And that night, I walked among the sad and the frustrated at City Hall in San Francisco and later that night as they lit candles on Castro Street and stood in silence, reaching out for some symbolic thing that would give them hope. These were strong people, whose faces I knew from the shop, the streets, meetings and people who I never saw before but I knew. They were strong, but even they needed hope.

And the young gay people in the Altoona, Pennsylvanias, and the Richmond, Minnesotas, who are
coming out and hear Anita Bryant on television and her story. The only thing they have to look forward to is hope. And you have to give them hope. Hope for a better world, hope for a better tomorrow, hope for a better place to come to if the pressures at home are too great. Hope that all will be all right. Without hope, not only gays, but the blacks, the seniors, the handicapped, the us'es, the us'es will give up. And if you help elect to the central committee and other offices, more gay people, that gives a green light to all who feel disenfranchised, a green light to move forward. It means hope to a nation that has given up, because if a gay person makes it, the doors are open to everyone.

So if there is a message I have to give, it is that I've found one overriding thing about my personal election, it's the fact that if a gay person can be elected, it's a green light. And you and you and you, you have to give people hope. Thank you very much.